

[Skip to Main Content](#) [Logout](#) [My Account](#) [Search](#) [Menu](#) [New Civil Search](#) [Refine Search](#) [Back](#)

Location : All Courts [Help](#)

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

CASE NO. 15-02-01909

Clarence Pyle VS. Metropolitan Lloyds Insurance Company of Texas

§
§
§
§

Case Type: Contract-Other >\$100,000 but
<\$200,000
Date Filed: 02/25/2015
Location: 284th Judicial District Court

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant **Metropolitan Lloyds Insurance Company of Texas**

Attorneys
DANIEL P BUECHLER
Retained
214.871.8200(W)

Plaintiff **Pyle, Clarence Wayne**

RICHARD D DALY
Retained
713.655.1405(W)

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

02/25/2015	Original Petition (OCA)
02/25/2015	Civil Case Information Sheet
02/25/2015	E-Filed Original Petition Document
02/25/2015	Request For Service
02/27/2015	Certified Mail Citation
	Metropolitan Lloyds Insurance Company of Texas Unserved
04/03/2015	Answer

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Plaintiff Pyle, Clarence Wayne		
Total Financial Assessment		379.00
Total Payments and Credits		379.00
Balance Due as of 04/09/2015		0.00
02/26/2015	Transaction Assessment	379.00
02/26/2015	E-File Electronic Payment Receipt # 2015-36701	(379.00)
	Pyle, Clarence Wayne	



Received and E-Filed for Record
2/25/2015 7:09:58 PM
Barbara Gladden Adamick
District Clerk
Montgomery County, Texas

CAUSE NO 15-02-01909

CLARENCE WAYNE PYLE

Plaintiff

vs.

METROPOLITAN LLOYDS INSURANCE
COMPANY OF TEXAS

Defendant

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

§ Montgomery County - 284th Judicial District Court

§ MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS

§ JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Clarence W. Pyle ("Mr. Pyle"), Plaintiff herein, files this Original Petition against Defendant Metropolitan Lloyds Insurance Company of Texas ("Metropolitan") and, in support of his causes of action, would respectfully show the Court the following:

I.
THE PARTIES

1. Clarence W. Pyle is a Texas resident who resides in Montgomery County, Texas.
2. Metropolitan is an insurance company doing business in the State of Texas which may be served through its registered agent for service of process in the State of Texas, CT Corporation System, via certified mail at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, TX 75201-3136.

II.
DISCOVERY

3. This case is intended to be governed by Discovery Level 2.

III.
CLAIM FOR RELIEF

4. The damages sought are within the jurisdictional limits of this court. Plaintiff currently seeks monetary relief over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000, including damages of

any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney's fees. However, to the extent that Defendant refuses to cooperate in discovery, makes frivolous and unwarranted objections, files needless motions, quashes depositions and discovery requests without a reasonable basis, asserts unjustified or false affirmative defenses, makes unwarranted special exceptions, hires individuals they claim to be "experts" who give false opinions or testimony, produces witnesses who commit perjury, conducts excessive discovery, or otherwise needlessly delays litigation, the costs, expenses, interest, and attorney's fees will likely be over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000.

**IV.
JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This court has subject matter jurisdiction of this cause of action because it involves an amount in controversy in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court. No diversity of citizenship exists in this matter.

6. Venue is proper in Montgomery County under Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §15.002(a)(1) because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Montgomery County. In particular, the loss at issue occurred in Montgomery County.

**V.
FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

7. Mr. Pyle is a named insured under a property insurance policy issued by Metropolitan.

8. On or about June 12, 2014 a storm hit the Conroe, Texas area, damaging Mr. Pyle's house and other property. Mr. Pyle subsequently filed a claim on his insurance policy.

9. Defendant improperly denied and/or underpaid the claim.

10. The adjuster assigned to the claim conducted a substandard investigation and inspection of the property, prepared a report that failed to include all of the damages that were observed during the inspection, and undervalued the damages observed during the inspection.

11. This unreasonable investigation led to the underpayment of Plaintiff's claim.

12. Moreover, Metropolitan performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's losses on the property.

VI.
CAUSES OF ACTION

13. Each of the foregoing paragraphs is incorporated by reference in the following:

A. Breach of Contract

14. Metropolitan had a contract of insurance with Plaintiff. Metropolitan breached the terms of that contract by wrongfully denying and/or underpaying the claim and Plaintiff was damaged thereby.

B. Prompt Payment of Claims Statute

15. The failure of Metropolitan to pay for the losses and/or to follow the statutory time guidelines for accepting or denying coverage constitutes a violation of Article 542.051 *et seq.* of the Texas Insurance Code.

16. Plaintiff, therefore, in addition to Plaintiff's claim for damages, is entitled to 18% interest and attorneys' fees as set forth in Article 542.060 of the Texas Insurance Code.

C. Bad Faith/DTPA

17. Defendant is required to comply with Chapter 541 of the Texas Insurance Code.

18. Defendant violated § 541.051 of the Texas Insurance Code by:

(1) making statements misrepresenting the terms and/or benefits of the policy.

19. Defendant violated § 541.060 by:

- (1) misrepresenting to Plaintiff a material fact or policy provision relating to coverage at issue;
- (2) failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of a claim with respect to which the insurer's liability had become reasonably clear;
- (3) failing to promptly provide to Plaintiff a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the insurer's denial of a claim or offer of a compromise settlement of a claim;
- (4) failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of a claim to Plaintiff or submit a reservation of rights to Plaintiff; and
- (5) refusing to pay the claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claim;

20. Defendant violated § 541.061 by:

- (1) making an untrue statement of material fact;
- (2) failing to state a material fact necessary to make other statements made not misleading considering the circumstances under which the statements were made;
- (3) making a statement in a manner that would mislead a reasonably prudent person to a false conclusion of a material fact;
- (4) making a material misstatement of law; and
- (5) failing to disclose a matter required by law to be disclosed.

21. At all material times hereto, Plaintiff was a consumer who purchased insurance products and services from Defendant.

22. Defendant has violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act in the following respects:

- (1) Defendant represented that the agreement confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations which it does not have, or involve, or which are prohibited by law;
- (2) Metropolitan failed to disclose information concerning goods or services which was known at the time of the transaction when such failure to disclose such information was intended to induce the consumer into a transaction that the consumer would not have entered into had the information been disclosed;
- (3) Metropolitan, by accepting insurance premiums but refusing without a reasonable basis to pay benefits due and owing, engaged in an unconscionable action or course of action as prohibited by the DTPA § 17.50(a)(1)(3) in that Metropolitan took advantage of Plaintiff's lack of knowledge, ability, experience, and capacity to a grossly unfair degree, that also resulted in a gross disparity between the consideration paid in the transaction and the value received, in violation of Chapter 541 of the Insurance Code.

23. Defendant knowingly committed the acts complained of. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary and/or treble damages pursuant to the DTPA and Texas Insurance Code § 541.152(a)-(b).

D. Attorneys' Fees

24. Plaintiff engaged the undersigned attorney to prosecute this lawsuit against Defendant and agreed to pay reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses through trial and any appeal.

25. Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable and necessary attorney's fees pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §§ 38.001-38.003 because he is represented by an attorney,

presented the claim to Defendant, and Defendant did not tender the just amount owed before the expiration of the 30th day after the claim was presented.

26. Plaintiff further prays that he be awarded all reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in prosecuting his causes of action through trial and any appeal pursuant to Sections 541.152 542.060 of the Texas Insurance Code.

VII.
CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

27. All conditions precedent to Plaintiff's right to recover have been fully performed, or have been waived by Defendant.

VIII.
DISCOVERY REQUESTS

28. Pursuant to Rule 194, you are requested to disclose, within fifty (50) days after service of this request, the information or material described in Rule 194.2(a)-(l).

29. You are also requested to respond to the attached interrogatories, requests for production, and requests for admissions within fifty (50) days, in accordance with the instructions stated therein.

IX.
PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Clarence W. Pyle prays that, upon final hearing of the case, he recover all damages from and against Defendant that may reasonably be established by a preponderance of the evidence, and that Mr. Pyle be awarded attorneys' fees through trial and appeal, costs of court, pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, and such other and further relief, general or special, at law or in equity, to which Mr. Pyle may show himself to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

DALY & BLACK, P.C.

By: /s/ Richard D. Daly

Richard D. Daly

TBA No. 00796429

rdaly@dalyblack.com

John Scott Black

TBA No. 24012292

jblack@dalyblack.com

Ana M. Ene

TBA No. 24076368

aene@dalyblack.com

William X. King

TBA No. 24072496

wking@dalyblack.com

2211 Norfolk St., Suite 800

Houston, Texas 77098

713.655.1405—Telephone

713.655.1587—Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
CLARENCE WAYNE PYLE

**PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES,
REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS**

COMES NOW Plaintiff in the above-styled and numbered cause, and requests that Defendant(s) (1) answer the following Interrogatories separately and fully in writing under oath within 50 days of service; (2) answer the Requests for Production separately and fully in writing within 50 days of service; (3) answer the Requests for Admissions separately and fully in writing within 50 days of service; (4) serve your answers to these Interrogatories, Requests for Production, and Requests for Admissions on Plaintiff by and through his/her attorneys of record, Richard D. Daly, Daly & Black, P.C., 2211 Norfolk St, Suite 800, Houston, Texas 77098; and (5) produce all documents responsive to the Requests for Production as they are kept in the usual course of business or organized and labeled to correspond to the categories in the requests within 50 days of service at the Daly & Black, P.C. You are also advised that you are under a duty to seasonably amend your responses if you obtain information on the basis of which:

- a. You know the response made was incorrect or incomplete when made; or
- b. You know the response, though correct and complete when made, is no longer true and complete, and the circumstances are such that a failure to amend the answer in substance is misleading.

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

- A. These Responses call for your personal and present knowledge, as well as the present knowledge of your attorneys, investigators and other agents, and for information available to you and to them.
- B. If you cannot answer a particular Interrogatory in full after exercising due diligence to secure the information to do so, please state so and answer to the extent possible, specifying and explaining your inability to answer the remainder and stating whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the unanswered portion.
- C. If you claim that any document which is required to be identified or produced by you in any response is privileged:

1. Identify the document's title and general subject matter;
 2. State its date;
 3. Identify all persons who participated in its preparation;
 4. Identify the persons for whom it was prepared or to whom it was sent;
 5. State the nature of the privilege claimed; and
 6. State in detail each and every fact upon which you base your claim for privilege.
- D. "Documents," includes any written, recorded or graphic matter, however produced or reproduced, of every kind regardless of where located including, but not limited to, medical records, tax returns, earnings statements, any summary, schedule, memorandum, note, statement, letter, telegraph, interoffice communication, report, diary, ledger, journal, log, desk or pocket calendar or notebook, day book, appointment book, pamphlet, periodical, worksheet, cost sheet, list, graph, chart, telephone or oral conversation, study, analysis, transcript, minutes, accounting records, data sheet, tally or similar freight documents, computer printout, electronic mail, computer disk, and all other memorials of any conversations, meetings and conferences, by telephone or otherwise, and any other writing or recording which is in your possession, custody or control or in the possession, custody or control of any director, officer, employee, servant or agent of yours or your attorneys. The term "documents" shall also include the files in which said documents are maintained. Electronically stored information should be produced in PDF format.
- E. "You," "Your," "Yours", "Defendants" and "Defendant" means all Defendants in this case.
- F. In each instance where you are asked to identify or to state the identity of a person, or where the answer to an Interrogatory refers to a person, state with respect to each such person:
1. His or her name;
 2. His or her last known business and residence address and telephone number; and
 3. His or her business affiliation or employment at the date of the transaction, event or matter referred to.
- G. If you decide that one question is not simply one question and plan to object based upon more than 25 interrogatories, you are instructed to skip that question and continue to answer what you consider to be only one question, by answering only the first 25 alleged single questions. By answering any one numbered question, you are hereby admitting that one number question is in fact one question and waive any objection based upon a limit of interrogatories.
- H. "Plaintiffs" and "Plaintiff" includes all Plaintiffs, and refers to a single Plaintiff or multiple Plaintiffs, if applicable.

Respectfully submitted,
DALY & BLACK, P.C.

By: /s/ Richard D. Daly
Richard D. Daly
TBA No. 00796429
rdaly@dalyblack.com
John Scott Black
TBA No. 24012292
jblack@dalyblack.com
Ana Ene
TBA No. 24076368
aene@dalyblack.com
William X. King
TBA No. 24072496
wking@dalyblack.com
2211 Norfolk St., Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77098
713.655.1405—Telephone
713.655.1587—Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
CLARENCE WAYNE PYLE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I sent a true and correct copy of the attached discovery requests to Defendant(s) as an attachment to the petition. Therefore, Defendant would have received it when it was served with the citation.

/s/ Richard D. Daly
Richard D. Daly

INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT METROPOLITAN

1. Identify the name, address, and role in the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, if any, for all persons providing information for the answers these interrogatories.

ANSWER:

2. Identify all persons and/or entities who handled the claim made the basis of the Lawsuit on behalf of Defendant.

ANSWER:

3. Does Defendant contend that Plaintiff(s) failed to provide proper notice of the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit under either the policy or the Texas Insurance Code, and, if so, describe how the notice was deficient, and identify any resulting prejudice caused to Defendant.

ANSWER:

4. Does Defendant claim that Plaintiff(s) failed to mitigate his or her damages? If so, describe how Plaintiff failed to do so, identifying any resulting prejudice caused to Defendant.

ANSWER:

5. Does Defendant contend that Plaintiff(s) failed to provide Defendant with requested documents and/or information? If so, identify all requests to which Plaintiff(s) did not respond and state whether you denied any portion of the claim based on Plaintiff's(s') failure to respond.

ANSWER:

6. At the time the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit was investigated and the Property inspected (and prior to anticipation of litigation), describe all damage attributable to the storm observed at the Property by Defendant or any persons or entities on behalf of Defendant.

ANSWER:

7. Identify all exclusions under the Policy applied to the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, and for each exclusion identified, state the reason(s) that Defendant relied upon to apply that exclusion.

ANSWER:

8. Describe how Defendant determined whether overhead and profit ("O&P") should be applied to the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, identifying the criteria for that determination.

ANSWER:

9. Identify all items on the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit to which Defendant applied depreciation, stating for each item the criteria used and the age of the item.

ANSWER:

10. To the extent Defendant utilized an estimating software program and modified the manufacturer's settings with respect to Plaintiffs' claim, identify those modifications.

ANSWER:

11. State whether Defendant applied depreciation to the tear off of the damaged roof and/or other debris removal in the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, identifying the basis for that depreciation and the applicable policy section under which the tear off was paid under.

ANSWER:

12. Identify all price lists used to prepare all estimates on the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, stating the manufacturer, version, date and geographical area.

ANSWER:

13. To extent Defendant is aware, state whether the estimate(s) prepared for the claim made the basis of lawsuit wrongly included or excluded any item or payment. If so, identify each item or payment and state whether it should have been included or excluded from the estimates prepared on the claim made the basis this Lawsuit.

ANSWER:

14. To the extent Defendant is aware, state any violations of Texas Insurance Code Section 541 that were discovered on this claim during the claims handling process.

ANSWER:

15. To the extent Defendant is aware, state any violations of Texas Insurance Code Section 542 that were discovered on this claim during the claims handling process.

ANSWER:

16. To the extent Defendant is aware, state any violations of the requirements or obligations owed to Plaintiff(s) under the Policy relating the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit that were discovered during the claims handling process.

ANSWER:

17. State the date Defendant first anticipated litigation.

ANSWER:

18. State every basis, in fact and in the terms of Plaintiff's policy, for Defendant's(s') denial or partial denial and/or recommendation of denial or partial denial of Plaintiff's claim(s).

ANSWER:

19. Identify every other insurance claim (by name, address and telephone no. of the insured) made for property damage in the same city/town as the house at issue in this case, and stemming from the same storm, which claim was paid in part or whole. This is limited to within 5 miles of Plaintiff's(s') insured property.

ANSWER:

20. Identify anyone who recommended payment on Plaintiff's claim(s), and if so, state what amount(s).

ANSWER:

21. Give the name, address and telephone number of all persons making a claim with you for property damage for the same date of loss as Plaintiff's claim. This request is limited to persons who live within a 5 mile radius of Plaintiff's insured residence.

ANSWER:

22. List all of your approved or preferred engineers, third party adjusters/adjusting companies, roofers and contractors, for windstorm claims in Texas from one year prior to the date of loss at issue to date.

ANSWER:

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO DEFENDANT METROPOLITAN

1. The following insurance documents issued for the Property as identified in the Petition:
 - a. the policy at issue for the date of loss as identified in the Petition; and
 - b. the policy declarations page for the 3 years preceding the storm.

RESPONSE:

2. Produce underwriting files and documents relating to the underwriting for all insurance policies for the Property at issue. This request is limited to the past 5 years. To the extent Defendant contends that the underwriting file or documents older than 5 years impact the damages or coverage, produce that underwriting file or document.

RESPONSE:

3. All documents relating to the condition or damages of the Property or any insurance claim on the Property.

RESPONSE:

4. All documents relating to any real property insurance claims made by the Plaintiff(s). This request is limited to the past 5 years. To the extent Defendant contends that documents older than 5 years impact the damages or coverage, produce that document.

RESPONSE:

5. All requests for information to any third party about the Property, the Plaintiff(s), or the claims made the basis of this Lawsuit.

RESPONSE:

6. All documents used to instruct, advise, guide, inform, educate, or assist provided to any person handling the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit that related to the adjustment of this type of claim, i.e., hail property damage.

RESPONSE:

7. All documents obtained from any person(s) or entity(ies) and governmental agencies on behalf of Defendant or by Defendant relating to the Plaintiff(s), the Property, the Policy, or the claims made the basis of this Lawsuit. This request includes all documents obtained by way of deposition on written questions.

RESPONSE:

8. All documents received (prior to litigation) directly or indirectly from Plaintiff(s) or created by Plaintiff(s) related to the Property made the basis of this lawsuit. This request is limited to the past 5 years. To the extent Defendant contends that any document older than 5 years impact the damages or coverage, produce that document.

RESPONSE:

9. Produce a copy of all price lists used to prepare any estimates for the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit. To the extent the pricelist is an unmodified pricelist from a third party, you can reference the vendor and version of the pricelist with a stipulation that it is unmodified.

RESPONSE:

10. To the extent Defendant created or altered any prices used in the preparation of an estimate in the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, produce all documents related to the creation or alteration of the price, including the original price for that item and the factual bases for the creation or alteration.

RESPONSE:

11. A complete copy the personnel file related to performance (excluding medical and retirement information) for all people and their managers and/or supervisors who directly handled the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, including all documents relating to applications for employment, former and current resumes, last known address, job title, job descriptions, reviews, evaluations, and all drafts or versions of requested documents. This request is limited to the past 5 years.

RESPONSE:

12. All organizational charts, diagrams, lists, an/or documents reflecting each department, division or section of Defendant's company to which the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit was assigned.

RESPONSE:

13. All Texas insurance licenses and/or certifications in effect at the time of the claims arising out of the storms at issue for all persons who worked on the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, including any document relating to the application, issuance or review of those licenses and/or certifications. This request excludes those who performed merely ministerial acts, i.e. people who answer phones, file clerks whose only job duty is to stamp "received," etc.

RESPONSE:

14. If an engineer and/or engineering firm evaluated the Property, produce all reports written at the request of Defendant by that engineer or engineering firm within the last 5 years. This request is limited to the extent that the engineer and/or engineering firm was used during claims handling.

RESPONSE:

15. Produce all documents showing amounts billed and paid to any engineer and/or engineering firm identified in response to Request for Production above within the last three years. A summary is acceptable in lieu of actual invoices or payments.

RESPONSE:

16. All documents reflecting the pre-anticipation of litigation reserve(s) set on the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, including any changes to the reserve(s) along with any supporting documentation.

RESPONSE:

17. All documents relating to issues of honesty, criminal actions, past criminal record, criminal conduct, fraud investigation and/or inappropriate behavior which resulted in disciplinary action by Defendant of any person(s) or entity(ies) who handled the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit, the Plaintiff(s) or any person assisting on the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit.

RESPONSE:

18. All documents relating to work performance, claims patterns, claims problems, commendations, claims trends, claims recognitions, and/or concerns for any person who handled the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit.

RESPONSE:

19. All XactAnalysis reports that include this claim in any way, this Policy, the amount paid on this Policy and/or referencing any person who handled the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit relating to claims arising out of the storm(s) occurring on the date(s) of loss claimed by Plaintiff(s).

RESPONSE:

20. Any email or document that transmits, discusses, or analyzes any report produced in response to the Request for Production immediately above.

RESPONSE:

21. All Simsol Management reports that include this claim in any way, this Policy, the amount paid on this Policy and/or referencing any person who handled the claim made the basis of this Lawsuit relating to claims arising out of the hail storms occurring in the county of suit on or about the date of loss claimed by Plaintiff(s).

RESPONSE:

22. Any email or document that transmits, discusses, or analyzes any report produced in response to the Request for Production immediately above.

RESPONSE:

23. For any consulting expert whose mental impressions or opinions have been reviewed by a testifying expert: all documents or tangible things that have been provided to, reviewed by, or prepared for the testifying expert.

RESPONSE:

24. Pursuant to Texas Rule of Evidence 609(f), provide all documents evidencing conviction of a crime which you intend to use as evidence to impeach any party or witness.

RESPONSE:

25. All indemnity agreements in effect at the time of Plaintiffs' claim between Defendant and any person(s) and/or entity(ies) who handled the claim made the basis of the Lawsuit.

RESPONSE:

26. All contracts in effect at the time of Plaintiffs' claim between Defendant and any person(s) and/or entity(ies) who handled the claim made the basis of the Lawsuit.

RESPONSE:

27. All confidentiality agreements and/or instructions regarding confidentiality in effect at the time of Plaintiffs' claim between Defendant and any person(s) and/or entity(ies) who handled the claim made the basis of the Lawsuit.

RESPONSE:

28. All documents between Defendant and any person(s) and/or entity(ies) who handled the claim made the basis of the Lawsuit regarding document retention policy in effect at the time of Plaintiffs' claim.

RESPONSE:

29. To the extent the claim involves rescinding of the policy, all documents regarding Defendant's standards for investigating and rescinding and/or voiding a policy.

RESPONSE:

30. A List of all of your approved or preferred engineers, third party adjusters/adjusting companies, roofers and contractors, for windstorm claims in Texas from one year prior to the date of loss at issue to date.

RESPONSE:

FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS TO METROPOLITAN

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1. Admit you committed statutory bad faith in adjusting Plaintiff's claim.

RESPONSE:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2. Admit you committed common law bad faith in adjusting Plaintiff's claim.

RESPONSE:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3. Admit you breached the insurance agreement by failing to pay the full amount owed there under.

RESPONSE:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4. Admit you breached the insurance agreement by failing to pay the full amount owed there under on a timely basis.

RESPONSE:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5. Admit you breached the Texas Prompt Payment Act by failing to pay the full amount owed under the policy in a timely fashion.

RESPONSE:

Montgomery County - 284th Judicial District Court
CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

Received and E-Filed for Record

2/25/2015 7:09:58 PM

Barbara Gladden Adamick

District Clerk

Montgomery County, Texas

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY): 15-02-01909COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY): District ClerkSTYLED CLARENCE WAYNE PYLE VS. METROPOLITAN LLOYDS INSURANCE COMPANY OF TEXAS

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filing.

1. Contact information for person completing case information sheet:		Names of parties in case:	Person or entity completing sheet is:
Name: Richard D. Daly	Email: rdaly@dalyblack.com	Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s): CLARENCE WAYNE PYLE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner <input type="checkbox"/> Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner <input type="checkbox"/> Title IV-D Agency <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
Address: 2211 Norfolk St, Ste 800	Telephone: 713.655.1405	Defendant(s)/Respondent(s): METROPOLITAN LLOYDS	Additional Parties in Child Support Case: Custodial Parent: _____
City/State/Zip: Houston, TX 77098	Fax: 713.655.1587	INSURANCE COMPANY OF TEXAS	Non-Custodial Parent: _____
Signature: /s/ Richard D. Daly	State Bar No: 00796429	Presumed Father: _____	
[Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties]			

2. Indicate case type, or identify the most important issue in the case (select only 1):

<i>Civil</i>		<i>Family Law</i>		
Contract	Injury or Damage	Real Property	Marriage Relationships	Post-judgment Actions (non-Title IV-D)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumer/DTPA <input type="checkbox"/> Debt/Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud/Misrepresentation <input type="checkbox"/> Other Debt/Contract: <input type="checkbox"/> Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Home Equity—Expedited <input type="checkbox"/> Other Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Franchise <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> Landlord/Tenant <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Competition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership <input type="checkbox"/> Other Contract: 	<input type="checkbox"/> Assault/Battery <input type="checkbox"/> Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation <input type="checkbox"/> Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> Accounting <input type="checkbox"/> Legal <input type="checkbox"/> Medical <input type="checkbox"/> Other Professional Liability: <input type="checkbox"/> Motor Vehicle Accident <input type="checkbox"/> Premises <input type="checkbox"/> Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos/Silica <input type="checkbox"/> Other Product Liability List Product: <input type="checkbox"/> Other Injury or Damage: 	<input type="checkbox"/> Eminent Domain/ Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> Partition <input type="checkbox"/> Quiet Title <input type="checkbox"/> Trespass to Try Title <input type="checkbox"/> Other Property: 	<input type="checkbox"/> Annulment <input type="checkbox"/> Declare Marriage Void <input type="checkbox"/> Divorce <input type="checkbox"/> With Children <input type="checkbox"/> No Children 	<input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Modification—Custody <input type="checkbox"/> Modification—Other <input type="checkbox"/> Title IV-D <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement/Modification <input type="checkbox"/> Paternity <input type="checkbox"/> Reciprocals (UIFSA) <input type="checkbox"/> Support Order
Employment	Other Civil	Related to Criminal Matters	Other Family Law	Parent-Child Relationship
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination <input type="checkbox"/> Retaliation <input type="checkbox"/> Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Workers' Compensation <input type="checkbox"/> Other Employment: 	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Appeal <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Unfair Competition <input type="checkbox"/> Code Violations <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual Property 	<input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer Discipline <input type="checkbox"/> Perpetuate Testimony <input type="checkbox"/> Securities/Stock <input type="checkbox"/> Tortious Interference <input type="checkbox"/> Other: 	<input type="checkbox"/> Enforce Foreign Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Judgment Nisi <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Disclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Seizure/Forfeiture <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of Habeas Corpus—Pre-indictment <input type="checkbox"/> Other: 	<input type="checkbox"/> Adoption/Adoption with Termination <input type="checkbox"/> Child Protection <input type="checkbox"/> Child Support <input type="checkbox"/> Custody or Visitation <input type="checkbox"/> Gestational Parenting <input type="checkbox"/> Grandparent Access <input type="checkbox"/> Parentage/Paternity <input type="checkbox"/> Termination of Parental Rights <input type="checkbox"/> Other Parent-Child:
Tax	Probate & Mental Health			
<input type="checkbox"/> Tax Appraisal <input type="checkbox"/> Tax Delinquency <input type="checkbox"/> Other Tax 	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Dependent Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Independent Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Other Estate Proceedings <input type="checkbox"/> Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Garnishment <input type="checkbox"/> Interpleader <input type="checkbox"/> License <input type="checkbox"/> Mandamus <input type="checkbox"/> Post-judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Guardianship—Adult <input type="checkbox"/> Guardianship—Minor <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Health <input type="checkbox"/> Other: 			

3. Indicate procedure or remedy, if applicable (may select more than 1):

<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal from Municipal or Justice Court	<input type="checkbox"/> Declaratory Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> Prejudgment Remedy
<input type="checkbox"/> Arbitration-related	<input type="checkbox"/> Garnishment	<input type="checkbox"/> Protective Order
<input type="checkbox"/> Attachment	<input type="checkbox"/> Interpleader	<input type="checkbox"/> Receiver
<input type="checkbox"/> Bill of Review	<input type="checkbox"/> License	<input type="checkbox"/> Sequestration
<input type="checkbox"/> Certiorari	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandamus	<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction
<input type="checkbox"/> Class Action	<input type="checkbox"/> Post-judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> Turnover

4. Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law case):

<input type="checkbox"/> Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees
<input type="checkbox"/> Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000
<input type="checkbox"/> Over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000
<input type="checkbox"/> Over \$1,000,000

Received and E-Filed for Record
 2/25/2015 7:09:58 PM
 Barbara Gladden Adamick
 District Clerk
 Montgomery County, Texas

CIVIL PROCESS REQUEST FORM

For Each Party Served You Must Furnish (1) Copy of the Pleading

Cause No. 15-02-01909Court Montgomery County - 284th Judicial District C

CLARENCE WAYNE PYLE VS. METROPOLITAN LLOYDS INSURANCE COMPANY OF TEXAS

Service to be issued as follows:

1. Name: Metropolitan Lloyds Insurance Company of Texas
 Agent: CT Corporation System
 Address: 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900
 City: Dallas State: TX Zip: 75201-3136

Instrument to be served:

Service by: Personal Citation _____ Restrict Del. _____ Non-Resident _____
 Certified Mail X Publication _____ Posting _____
 Other: _____

2. Name: _____
 Agent: _____
 Address: _____
 City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Instrument to be served:

Service by: Personal Citation _____ Restrict Del. _____ Non-Resident _____
 Certified Mail _____ Publication _____ Posting _____
 Other: _____

Attorney or Party requesting issuance of service:
 Name: Richard D. Daly, Richard Daly Law Firm
 Address: 2211 Norfolk Street, Suite 800, Houston, Texas 77098
 Telephone No: (713) 655-1405 Bar No: 00796429

Service to be returned by *Mail* or *Pickup* (circle one) ***CERTIFIED MAIL***

Contact the following for pickup:

Civil Process Server: _____

Telephone No: _____

Attorney: _____ Telephone No: _____

Barbara Gladden Adamick, District Clerk
 P.O. Box 2985
 Conroe, TX 77305
 (936) 539-7855


Print Your Documents

Page 1 of 1

Montgomery County Dist Clerk
E Salines
301 N Main

Cypress TX 77301

USPS CERTIFIED MAIL™



9214 8901 4633 9311 1342 99

METROPOLITAN LLOYDS INSURANCE
COMPANY OF TEXAS
R/A CT CORPORATION SYSTEM
1999 BRYAN STREET STE 900

DALLAS TX 75201-3136

Received and E-Filed for Record
4/3/2015 5:58:33 PM
Barbara Gladden Adamick
District Clerk
Montgomery County, Texas

NO. 15-02-01909

CLARENCE WAYNE PYLE, § IN THE DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff, §
§
§
§

v. § MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS

METROPOLITAN LLOYDS §
INSURANCE COMPANY OF TEXAS, §

Defendant. §
§
§
284TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Defendant Metropolitan Lloyds Insurance Company of Texas files this its Original Answer and respectfully shows the Court the following:

I.
ORIGINAL ANSWER

1.1 Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant generally denies each and every allegation contained within the Plaintiff's Original Petition, and since they are allegations of fact, Plaintiff should be required to prove them by a preponderance of the evidence in accordance with the laws of the state of Texas.

II.
CONCLUSION AND PRAYER

2.1 Wherefore, premises considered, Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing by his suit, and for such other further relief to which Defendant may show itself justly entitled.

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER – PAGE 1

2183780v1
04111.030

Respectfully Submitted,

s/Daniel P. Buechler
Daniel P. Buechler
State Bar No. 24047756
dbuechler@thompsoncoe.com
Molly E. Raynor
State Bar No. 24068609
mraynor@thompsoncoe.com

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.
700 N. Pearl Street, 25th Floor
Dallas, Texas 75201
(214) 871-8200
(214) 871-8209 - FAX

**COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LLOYDS INSURANCE
COMPANY OF TEXAS**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 3, 2015, I served the following document on counsel via email and/or certified mail, return receipt requested and/or facsimile:

Richard D. Daly
John Scott Black
Ana M. Ene
William X. King
DALY & BLACK, P.C.
2211 Norfolk St., Suite 800
Houston, Texas 77098
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

s/Daniel P. Buechler
Daniel P. Buechler

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER – PAGE 2

2183780v1
04111.030